# Opponents' Rebuttal

Slate School Community Support Analysis
Dated August 18, 2021

### Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support

- The applicant's Community Support Analysis consists of much misleading and incorrect information
- The applicant is trying to divert the Commission's attention from the zoning issues by understating the number of opponents and over-stating the amount and type of support they have:
  - These hearings are neither a popularity contest, nor a vote
  - Most people who support this project (58% on average) do so because they think Slate School is a good school
  - Many supporters (67) have a vested interest, or personal connection to the school
  - These supporters will feel the same way regardless of where the school is built
  - Over 3-times as many people living within 1-mile of the proposed site oppose the project than support it
  - There are 2-times as many opponents within the Blue Hills area than supporters
  - 72% of opponents live within 2-miles of the site; 60% of supporters live 2-6 miles from the site
  - The opinions of people who don't live near the site, or who have vested interests in having this project
    approved, should not count as much as the opinions of the opponents who live near the site and will be
    negatively impacted by this project
- Our analysis follows

# Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support Page 1 – Blue Hills Neighborhood

The Applicant Conveniently Only Counted Opponents Who Live on Ridge Road Between Mt. Carmel Avenue and Blue Hills Road! Who are they kidding?

#### Blue Hills Neighborhood Comparison

Speakers and/or Letters Submitted (including neighbors represented by attorneys)

	lls Supporters o ool at 5100 Ridg		19 Blue Hills Opposed to Slate Upper School
Steve Dicapua Karen Dicapua Alicia Burr John Fallon Frank Pullano Erik Scaranuzzo Gina Marra Stephen Marra Dave Greco Anthony Fisser Esther Fisser Peter Marra Jarina Marra Ja	Sarah Lambert Tommy Lambert Charlotte Luzzi Joe Luzzi Joe Luzzi Joena Levine Donna Mae Cangiano Ben Cangiano Nick D'Ambrosia Ruth Bryant Sid Levine Doug Hansen Lynn McCall Douglas McCall Peter Longley Kathy Longley Stearns Bryant	Cathy-Ann DeMayo Richard DeMayo Emily Lutin Fuentes Joel Mastroianni Nexhmije Vucetaj Susan Mastroianni Doug Davies Amy Chai Uliks Ahmetaj Gjina Ahmetaj Osman Ahmetaj Luis Arata (Hamden) Laura Arata (Hamden) Jennifer Clark Alexander Clark Total: 47	Johanne Mangi Dick Mangi Hal Kaplan Maria Acampora John Acampora John Acampora Gary DeSimone Elizabeth Knope Scott Schatzlein Ken Henrici Jim Reilly John Sinard Ross Lanius Susan Dannenhoffer Alex Dannenhoffer Alex Dannenhoffer Albert Perrino Anita Perrino Bonnie Byers (Hamden) Calvin DeMarsilis (Hamden) Milck Martucci (Hamden) Total: 19

## Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support Page 1 – Blue Hills Neighborhood

4 of the supporters shown on Page 1 of the Applicant's analysis do not appear to live in North Haven, let alone in the Blue Hills Area (Doug Davies, and (3) members of the Ahmetaj family)

Opponents in the Blue Hills Area outnumber supporters 86-to-43, or by a factor of 2

Opponents living within 1-mile of the proposed site outnumber supporters 77-to-24, or by 3.2x

Criteria - Combined	Opponents	Supporters
Blue Hills, NoH	58	40
Blue Hills, Hamden	28	3
Total	86	43

	Орро		
Distance	0.5 miles 1-miles		Total
North Haven	33	18	51
Hamden	7	19	26
Total	40	37	77

Criteria	Opponents	Supporters
Within 1/2 mi	40	3
Within 1 mi	37	21
Total <= 1 mi	77	24

	Suppo		
Distance	North Haven Hamden		Total
Within 1/2 mi	3	0	3
Within 1 mi	19	2	21
Total <= 1 mi	22	2	24

## Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support

Page 2 – North Haven Outside of Blue Hills Neighborhood

The Applicant is Grossly Under-Stating the Number of Opponents Who Live in North Haven, Outside of the Blue Hills Neighborhood

#### North Haven Comparison Outside of Blue Hills Neighborhood

Speakers and/or Letters Submitted

127 North Haven Supporters of Slate Upper School		20 North Haven Opposed to Slate Upper School	
a Bok ircus Satavu www.Mohovich iee Chandra iphen Fitugerald ry Quinn-Devine ie Mountzastle ry Grotzike nes Scott idl Scott	7 North Haven Supporters of Slate L  (82 More Supporters Outside of B  Neighborhood)  Jane Nowsaadko Jakie Fizgerald Robert Zambrano Jakie Fizgerald Robert Zambrano Angeta Amendola Robert Zambrano Kinki Bassett Rob Labanara Nancy Labanara Nancy Labanara Anne Benoultz Melanie Bur Barry Herman Katie Kenney Katie Kenney		20 North Haven Opposed to Slate Upper School (1 More Opposed to Slate Upper School Outside of Blue Hills Neighborhood)  Mary White
montesse Mountcaste ary Offurt me Murphy Papa Kenery (Eenney in Mountcaste awa Kelly ari Kelly ari Kelly ari Kelly are Quinn my Biardi an Reed ms Mountcaste was proposed in Mountcaste was proposed in Mountcaste was proposed	Megan Moran Mist Labanara Mist Labanara Andrew Beworkt Chris Labanara Chen DeGingerio Josaban Regerald Josab		

### Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support Page 2 – North Haven Outside Blue Hills Neighborhood

1 of the supporters shown on Page 2 of the Applicant's analysis is a duplicate (Nancy Labonara - removed), and 2 of these supporters (Barry Herman and Justin Perriano) do not appear in the North Haven GIS

There are 124 supporters in North Haven, 43 in the Blue Hills area and 81 outside of Blue Hills

There are 88 opponents in North Haven, 58 in the Blue Hills area and 30 outside of Blue Hills

Most importantly, opponents living within 1-mile of the proposed site outnumber supporters 77-to-24, or by 3.2x

Opponents		Paper	Online	Total
Total North Haven, B	lue Hills	51	7	58
Total North Haven, n	ot Blue Hills	20	10	30
Total North Haven		71	17	88

# Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support

Page 3 – Hamden Outside of Blue Hills Neighborhood

The Applicant is Grossly Under-Stating the Number of Opponents Who Live in Hamden, Outside of the Blue Hills Neighborhood

#### Hamden Comparison Outside of Blue Hills Neighborhood Speakers and/or Letters Submitted 59 Hamden Supporters of Slate Upper School at 5100 Ridge Road 1 Opposed to Slate Upper School Myun Hwa Dunlo Elizabeth Rivera Mary Mushinsky Andrea Nezat Matt Gade Kelly Nezat **Brad Ellis** Gershon Hasir Loralee Crowde Nick Aurora Kellie Kaminska Marissa Esposito Dale Kroop Beth Griffin Matt Morrow Xanthe Robinso Edward william Jenna Vollono Kristen Meyer Total: 59 in Hamder ennifer Everitt Anlah Meyer Erin Labulis Thomas Coope Helen Culinan Rachel Cooper John Ginnetti Sam Edwards Kazue Edward John Cupzzo Anthony Costanzo Kelliann Costanzo Daniel Casbarro Nicole Casbarr Chick Cassy Matt Paul Madison Chelere

## Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support Page 3 – Hamden Outside Blue Hills Neighborhood

There are 14 supporters listed as living in Hamden who do not appear in the Hamden GIS or the White Pages

There are 59 supporters in Hamden, outside of Blue Hills

There are 32 opponents in Hamden, outside of Blue Hills

But, most of these supporters live miles away from the proposed site, as the next pages show

Opponents	Paper	Online	Total
Total Hamden, Blue Hills	27	1	28
Total Hamden, not Blue Hills	24	8	32
Total in Hamden	51	9	60

### Opponent's Rebuttal to Community Support

There are as many total opponents as supporters in <u>Hamden</u>

Supporter John Cuozzo was counted in Hamden; his office is in Hamden, but his home is in North Haven [he is shown below as No Haven (X)]

47 Hamden opponents (78%) live within 2-miles of the proposed site; only 7 supporters (12%) do

Distance from Site	Supporters	Opponents
<1 mi (A)	0	26
1-2 mi (B)	7	21
2-3 mi {C}	11	4
3-4 mi (D)	12	1
4-5 mi (E)	4	4
5-6 mi (F)	10	1
Unknown	14	3
No Haven (X)	1	
Total	59	60

# Opponent's Rebuttal to Community Support Where do the Supporters Live in Hamden & North Haven?

106 opponents (72%) live less than 2-miles from the proposed site. We are not counting 17 opponents who live outside of the North Haven/Hamden area

109 supporters (60%) live between 2-6 miles from the proposed site (not counting Unknowns). Their opinions should not count as much as the opinions of the 106 opponents who live near the site

Distance from Site	Supporters	Opponents
<1mil (A)	24	77
1-2 mi (B)	34	29
2-3 mi {C}	24	12
3-4 mi (D)	49	20
4-5 mi (E)	25	6
5-6 mi (F)	11	1
Unknown	16	3
Total	183	148

# Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support Why Do Neighbors Support the High School Project?

An overwhelming number support the high school because they think the Lower School is a good school

This is irrelevant to this Commission's decision.

Criteria - Good Schoo	ol			
Page #	Region	Number	Percentage	
PAGE 1	Blue Hills	15	34.	.1%
PAGE 2	Other NoH	52	64.	.2%
PAGE 3	Hamden	39	66.	.1%
Total		106	57.	.6%

### Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support Many Supporters Have a Relationship with Slate School

67 supporters in this latest analysis support the high school because they have a vested interest in having it approved because of their relationships with Slate School

One family (Di Capua) just sold their property at 103 Kings Hwy to Slate School for almost twice market value!

The opinions of these supporters with vested interests should not count as much as the opinions of opponents who will be negatively impacted by this project

Relationship	Totals	
Parent		24
Employee		10
Friend of a p	arent	9
Relative of e	mployee	7
Other family		4
Parent of fut	ure student	3
Sibling		3
Clarks are go	2	
Friend of a st	tudent	2
Friend of a to	eacher	1
Friend of Lov	1	
Possible cont	1	
Totals		67

# Opponents' Rebuttal of Community Support Closing Summary

- The applicant is over-stating the level of support for this project
  - Within 1-mile of the site, 3.2-times more people oppose the project than support it
  - Within the overall Blue Hills neighborhood, 2-times as many people oppose the project as support it
  - 106 opponents (72%) live less than 2-miles from the site; 109 supporters (60%) live much further away between 2-6 miles from the site
  - 57.6% of the supporters do so because they feel the Lower School is a good school; this is irrelevant
  - 67 supporters have a relationship with the Lower School; vested interests are irrelevant
- The decision to approve this application is neither a popularity contest nor a vote.
  - The opinions of people who don't live near the site, or who have vested interests in having the project approved, should not count as much as the opinions of the opponents who live near the site and will be negatively impacted by this project
- We urge the Commission to look beyond the inflated and misleading claims of support and deny this application